The Fight for Brown v. Board of Education, 1954

GRADE LEVEL: Written for grades 9-10, adaptable for grades 6-8

SUBJECT: Efforts for desegregating schools in the U.S.; English Language Arts; U.S. History or U.S. Government and Politics

TIME REQUIRED: 90 min.

This lesson explores the nature of desegregation and the effects of the Brown and Green case on social and political life in the American Southeast.

RATIONALE

This lesson supports foundational learning about life in America and the efforts of leaders and organizations to fight for equitable education at the intersection of concepts (Separate but Equal; Segregation) and historical practices (source analysis and contextualization).

NOTE: While this lesson is intended for a 90 min. class session it could be paced for more time or activities could be cut to accommodate a shorter class period.

This lesson plan and materials needed to teach it can be found at the Thurgood Marshall Institute: <u>https://tminstituteldf.org/</u>

OVERVIEW

ESSENTIAL QUESTIONS

- 1. What is desegregation?
- 2. Who fights for change and why?
- 3. How do people make change?

OUTCOMES AND OBJECTIVES

After the lesson students will...

- 1. Describe segregation and desegregation and the impact they have on education.
- 2. Explain the people, events, challenges, and perspectives that led to the Brown v. Board of Education landmark decision.
- 3. Explain the role of the NAACP in the fight for the Brown Decision including their preparation for the announcement of the outcome.

PREPARING TO TEACH

Review the materials included and brush up on historical context.

Check on access to links to make sure they work and are not blocked.

Make copies of worksheets and texts to be used with activities.

SCAFFOLDS AND ACCOMMODATIONS TO SUPPORT LEARNERS

Reading support....

Copyright ©2024 All Rights Reserved <u>NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc</u>. Use of <u>curriculum</u> constitutes acceptance of our Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy

Readings required for this lesson include historic documents (letters) and complex texts (*All Deliberate Speed*). Setting a clear purpose for why students are reading and what they should get from it is important as a reading support. Additional strategies for reading supports include:

- Tampering with the text: students should have access to the complete text for context and differentiation possibilities but orienting the task around a smaller section of text or rewriting complicated language in every day / present day language is helpful.
- Co-reading: students reading together in small groups or with a partner can support understanding and comprehension.
- Setting context: Sharing sourcing information and the broader context of what was happening at the time of the document or the time when the story takes place supports student understanding of the bigger picture and specific ideas in the text.

Differentiation...

- Students could be assigned different parts of texts they would be responsible for understanding and then sharing what they learned with peers.
- More confident readers could be offered larger portions of text and less confident readers could be offered slightly shorter excerpts.

Adjusting for middle school grades...

Note: You know your students best and we encourage you to use these activities and resources in ways that support rigorous and challenging learning. Below are some ideas for adapting these activities to middle grades:

- Adjust pacing. Some activities could be made longer and the lesson could span two class sessions.
- Eliminate or revise activities and learning objectives to align better with your grade level goals and standards.
- Extra reading supports...
 - Read with a purpose: Set a clear and explicit goal for what students should learn from reading.
 - Read with a partner: Take turns reading aloud or read quietly with timed breaks to explain what they read to each other.
 - Offer an everyday language version of the reading materials: Provide the original as well, but excerpts in typical everyday language can be a helpful scaffold or resource for students.

INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVIES SEQUENCE

- 1. Review Brown v Board of Education 1954 (set historical context see short summary in materials section to assure students know what the case was about) 10 minutes
 - This could be read or distributed to students or be the foundation for a short lecture. You might consider asking students what they already know (KWL Chart)

Copyright ©2024 All Rights Reserved <u>NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc</u>. Use of <u>curriculum</u> constitutes acceptance of our Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy

- 2. Use the primary documents students will develop an initial sense of the timeline of the case and the role of the NAACP organization as the decision was made (See Materials Section):
 - Material set 1: Letters from the NAACP national office to the leaders of the local chapters of the NAACP on how to respond to the Brown decision, prior to the decision being made
 - Material set 2: LDF Winding Road Pamphlet on the timeline of the Brown v Board decision.
 - Using the materials students can respond or discuss the following:
 - i. What is the time span of the fight for desegregation in the Winding Road pamphlet? Why does that surprise you or not?
 - ii. Pick a court case in the pamphlet that you think was really important in the fight for Brown (for desegregation) and share why with a partner.
 - iii. What do the letters say the NAACP national office told the regional offices about how to respond when the Brown decision came out?
 - iv. Why do you think the NAACP gave the instructions that they did to the regional offices?
- 3. Read and discuss the book (or read and discuss select chapters from) *All Deliberate Speed* by Charles J. Ogletree. Using the table of contents organize the chapters around themes, for example:

The Road to Brown	The Impact and Importance of	Who made a difference?	Resistance to Brown	Life After and Legacy of Brown
	Brown			
Ch. 6. Life Before	Ch. 1. The	Ch. 11. The Legacy of	Ch. 4. Brown's	Ch. 15. The Michigan
Brown	Significance of Brown	Thurgood Marshall	Failure: Resistance in Boston	Cases: Mixed Signals
Ch. 7. Defeating Jim	Ch. 2. The Legacy of	Ch. 12. The Rise of		Ch. 16. Meeting the
Crow	Segregation: What	Clarence Thomas	Ch. 8. Resistance to	Educational
	Brown Meant in		Brown	Challenges of the
Ch. 9. Marshall and	Merced	Ch. 14. Justice		Twenty-First Century
King: Two Paths to		Thomas: A New Era in	Ch. 10. Reversing the	
Justice	Ch. 5. Brown's	Race Matters	Brown Mandate: The	Ch. 17. Addressing the
	Challenge: Carrying		Bakke Challenge	Racial Divide:
	the Torch		_	Reparations
			Ch. 13. Who's Getting	-
	Ch. 3. Brown's		Lynched?: Hill v.	Ch. 18. The
	Promise: Black		Thomas	Integration Ideal:
	Students at Stanford			Sobering Reflections.

Options for activity structure:

- Read at home: Students read a theme and come to class ready to discuss their theme(s) with classmates. Themes with more chapters could have more students so the work can be divided equitably.
- Read in class: Select chapters for reading circles each day. Each small group of 3 students can take a different chapter in the theme for that day/task and circle up to take turns reading from their assigned chapter. This offers a built in peer reading scaffold but

Copyright ©2024 All Rights Reserved <u>NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc</u>. Use of <u>curriculum</u> constitutes acceptance of our Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy

students may benefit from some behavior agreements to help support each other better, asking for help if they don't know what they are reading, listeners taking notes and saving feedback or support for when their partner is finished, or students deciding how much they would like to read before passing along to their classmate.

- 4. Assessment options:
 - Write and essay or short response to answer a prompt around the daily theme. Example, Using what you learned from the reading today, how did Thurgood Marshall make a difference in the fight against desegregation?
 - Observe student reading and conversation about their selected text and make notes about students' learning and communication of ideas.
 - Have students jigsaw what they read and "teach" another group of students about their chapter or selected text.
 - Authentic assessment could include developing a book cover or newspaper front page that reflects what they learned about the people or events in the book through popular media.

MATERIALS

Short Summary of Brown v. Board of Education 1954

Brown v. Board of Education was a landmark case in the United States that challenged the constitutionality of racial segregation in public schools. The case originated in Topeka, Kansas, where African American children were required to attend separate schools for black students, which were often inferior in quality to those attended by white students. The plaintiffs argued that this segregation violated the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment, which guarantees equal rights to all citizens.

The case reached the Supreme Court in 1954, and in a unanimous decision, the Court, led by Chief Justice Earl Warren, declared that state laws establishing separate public schools for black and white students were inherently unequal and unconstitutional. This decision overturned the precedent set by the 1896 case Plessy v. Ferguson, which had upheld the "separate but equal" doctrine.

The Brown v. Board of Education decision marked a pivotal moment in the Civil Rights Movement, as it laid the groundwork for desegregation efforts across the country and challenged the legal basis of segregation in other public facilities. It played a crucial role in the ongoing struggle for racial equality in the United States. Letters from the NAACP Office

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONCRESS Loura CEDAR RAPIDS BRANCH of the National Association For The Advancement of Culared People * * * CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA

1132 13th. Ave., S. E. Gedar Hapids, Iowa September 22, 1953

Mr. Gloster B. Current Director of Branches NAACP 20 West both. Street New York 18, New York

Dear Mr. Current:

-25

The Cedar Rapids' branch is having a mass meeting on November 8 and we plan to have representatives from all sympathetic groups to particularily in a conference on the segregation issue particularily the complete integration in education--discussing the pros and cons of the all theory "separate but equal."

I am writing to find out if the national office have any material that our NAACP discussants can use to better inform the public of the fight the NAACP is staging on segregation. I mean we would like more pointed and specific information on the school cases now pending before the Supreme Court. If you do have such information available we would like for you to send us some immediately.

We hope to send in some finance before the end of the year to add to the "fighting fund for Freedom."

Very_truly yours, la \mathcal{Q}_{\ldots} Viola A. Gibson Pres. of C. R. Branch

Copyright @2024 All Rights Reserved WAACE Legal Dejense and Eaucational Fana, mc. ose of *curriculum* constitutes acceptance of our Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

not and

May 12, 1954



SPECIAL ATTENTION

MEMORANDUM TO: BRANCH OFFICERS

SUBJECT

: Public statements as a Branch Officer at the time of the U.S. Supreme Court dpinion in the school segregation cases.

If the Supreme Court is going to render an opinion in the five public school segregation cases during this term of court, the opinion will come down on any Monday beginning May 17, running into June and the date when the court adjourns for the number. We think that means not later than June 14.

You all know that these cases are of the greatest importance, not only as to schools, but as to the system of racial segregation generally. The NAACP is desirous that statements by our local and state officers be of a general nature, volding no threats, no bossing, and no free lance opinions on the legal angles involved. We hope to win, and if the win-ning opinion is announced, the general tone of the statements should be to invite the co-operation of the community leaders, white and colored, in carrying out the new order, while stating that you are exciting an analysis of the opinion from the NAACP legal staff in New York. in New York.

We are attaching two suggested statements for the guidance of our officers. These are We are accounts two suggested statements for the guidance of our officers. These are suggestions only. They are to be used only when the opinion is headed down, and if a newspaper or radio station in your city calls you, as head of the local MACP, and asks you for a statement.

It is better to issue a short statement of general character than a long one stating what we will or will not do. Only a careful study of the opinion by our lawyors will enable us to announce--later--plans for further action.

It is important not to brag if we win.

It is important not to "sound off" on what we "domand."

It is important not to pledge the MAACP to do this and that.

It is important to invite the cooperation of all groups in carrying out the decision and to express confidence that all persons will work together to carry out the law.

We know you will do your part as an MAACP officer in this situation.

Very sincerely yours,

ROY VILKINS Administrator

RW:EJS

curriculum constitutes acceptance of our Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONCRESS

IF THE COURT RULES THAT SEGREGATION IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS IS UNCONSTITU-TIONAL AND MUST BE ABOLISHED, a statement to newspapers that inquire should be about as follows:

"We of the NAACP in (name of city or state) are delighted with the opinion of the Supreme Court in the school segregation cases. We are awaiting an analysis of the opinion by our national legal staff in New York, but we are confident that regardless of technical details, responsible elected officials and community leaders of both races will work together in good faith to carry out the mandate of the court."

curriculum constitutes acceptance of our Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy

1F THE COURT RULES THAT SEGREGATION 1S CONSTITUTIONAL AND DEAT STATES MAY IMPOSE 1T IN SCHOOLS a statument to newspapers that inquire should be something as follows:

"We in the NAACP in (name of city or town) are awaiting a detailed interpretation of the opinion by our national legal staff in New York. We regret, of course, that the Court has not abolished governmentally-imposed segregation in the public schools, but we remain convinced that such a system is at variance with the American ideal of equality for all citizens. Bistory has proved that there can be no equality with segregation. Our Association will continue to press our right vigorously for integration and equality until it is won."

curriculum constitutes acceptance of our Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy

.

LDF Winding Road to Brown and Beyond Binder 1 – pg. 172

THE WINDING ROAD TO BROWN: AN LDF CHRONOLOGY

1933 Thurgood Marshall graduates first in his class from Howard University's School of Law. Oliver Hill, also a clasmate and one of the *Brown* counsels, graduates second. Marshall and Hill were both mentored by the Law School's vice-dean Charles Hamilton Houston.

1934 Houston joins the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) as part-time counsel.

1935 After having been denied admittance to the University of Maryland Law School, Marshall wins a case in the Maryland Court of Appeals against the Law School, which gains admission for Donald Murray, the first black applicant to a white southern law school.

1936 Marshall joins the NAACP's legal staff.

1938 Marshall succeeds Houston as special counsel. Houston returns to his Washington, D.C. law practice but remains counsel with the NAACP.

1938 Missouri ex rel. Gaines v. Canada

The U.S. Supreme Court invalidates state laws that required African-American students to attend out-of-state graduate schools to avoid admitting them to their states' all-white facilities or building separate graduate schools for them.

1940 Marshall writes the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund's corporate charter and becomes its first director and chief counsel.

1940 Alston v. School Board of City of Norfolk A federal appeals court orders that African-American teachers be paid salaries equal to those of white teachers.

1948 Sipuel v. Oklahoma State Regents The Supreme Court rules that a state cannot bar an African-American student from its all-white law school on the ground that she had not

1974 Milliken v. Bradley The Supreme Court rules that, in almost all cases, a federal court cannot impose an inter-district remedy between a city and its surrounding sub-urbs in order to integrate city schools.

1978 Bakke v. Regents of the University of

California The Supreme Court rules that schools can take race into account in admissions, but cannot use

1982 Bob Jones University v. U.S.; Goldboro Christian Schools v. U.S. The Supreme Court appoints LDF Board Chair William T. Coleman, Jr. as "friend of the court" and upholds his argument against granting tax exemptions to religious schools that discriminate:

1984 Geier v. Alexander

1984 Geier v. Alexander As part of a settlement of a case requiring deseg-regation of its public higher education system, Tennessee agrees to identify 75 promising black sophomores each year and prepare them for later admission to the state's graduate and professional schools. A federal court of appeals approves this settlement in 1986 despite opposition from the Reagan Administration.

1984 Julius L. Chambers is named LDF's

1993 Elaine R. Jones is named LDF's first female Director-Counsel.

1995 Missouri v. Jenkins

The Supreme Court rules that some disparities, such as poor achievement among African-American students, are beyond the authority of the federal courts to address. This decision reafthe reactal courts to address. I his decision reat-firms the Supreme Court's desire to end federal court supervision and return control of schools to local authorities.

1996 Sheff v. O'Neill In this LDF case, the Supreme Court of Connecticut finds the State liable for maintaining racial and ethnic isolation, and orders the legisla-tive and executive branches to propose a remedy. LDF would have to return to the Court in 2003

requested the state to provide a separate law school for black students.

1949 Jack Greenberg graduates from Columbia Law School and joins LDF as a staff attorney.

1950 Charles Hamilton Houston dies. He was the chief architect of the NAACP LDF legal strat-egy for racial equality, Thurgood Marshall's teacher and mentor, and Dean of Howard University's Law School.

1950 McLaurin v. Oklahoma State Regents The Supreme Court holds that an African-

American student admitted to a formerly all-white graduate school could not be subjected to white graduate school could not be subjected to practices of segregation that interfered with meaningful classroom instruction and interaction with other students, such as making a student sit in the classroom doorway, isolated from the pro-fessor and other students.

1950 Sweatt v. Painter

The Supreme Court rules that a separate law school hastily established for black students to school hastily established for black students to prevent their having to be admirted to the previ-ously all-white University of Texas School of Law could not provide a legal education "equal" to that available to white students. The Court orders the admission of Heman Marion Sweatt to the University of Texas Law School.

1954 Brown v. Board of Education

The Supreme Court rules that racial segregation in public schools violates the Fourteenth Amendment, which guarantee courd protection Amendment, which guarantees equal protection, and the Fifth Amendment, which guarantees due process. This landmark case overturned the "sepa-rate but equal" doctrine that underpinned legal egregat

Attorneys for the plaintiffs in the five cases that Autorneys for the plaintiffs in the five cases that comprised the Supreme Court case were: Thurgood Marshall, Director-Counsel, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.; Harold Boulware - Briggt n. Elliont (South Carolina); Jack Greenberg, Louis L. Redding -Gebhart n. Belton (Delaware); Robert L. Carter, Charles S. Scott - Brunu n. Board of Education of Topeka (Kansas); Oliver M. Hill, Spottswood W.

to force the legislative body to fulfill the Court's mandate

1996 Hopwood v. Texas U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit rules that the affirmative action plans used by Texas universities are unconstitutional; the Supreme Court refuses to review the case.

1999 Thirty years of court-supervised desegrega-tion ends in Charlotte-Mecklenburg school district.

2003 Gratz v. Bollinger; Grutter v. Bollinger The Supreme Court considers challenges to the University of Michigan's affirmative action pro-gram for its undergraduate and law schools, respectively. LDF represents African-American and Latino student intervenors in the Gratz undergraduate school case; LDF Associate Director-Counsel Theodore M. Shaw is lead counsel. In *Gratter*, the Court preserved the core principle of affirmative action, finding that the consideration of race in pursuit of a diverse stu-dent body is a compelling state interest.

2004 Theodore M. Shaw becomes LDF's fifth Director-Counsel



Robinson III - Davis v. County School Board of Prince Edward County (Virginia); James M. Nabrit, Jr., George E. C. Hayes - Bolling v. Sharpe (District of Columbia).

Attorneys Of Counsel: Charles L. Black, Ir. Attorneys Or Counsei: Charles L. black, Jr., Elwood H. Chisolm, William T. Coleman, Jr., Charles T. Duncan, William R. Ming, Jr., Constance Baker Motley, David E. Pinsky, Frank D. Reeves, John Scott, and Jack B. Weinstein.

1955 Brown v. Board of Education (II) Court orders desegregation to proceed deliberate speed." ed with "all

1955 Lucy v. Adams A federal district court orders the admission of Autherine Lucy to the University of Alabama, and the Supreme Court quickly affirms the deci-

1957 President Eisenhower orders National Guard to Little Rock, Arkansas, to escort nine black students to Central High School to enforce

1958 Cooper v. Aaron LDF wins a Supreme Court ruling that barred Arkansas Governor Orval Faubus from interfering with the desgregation of Little Rock's Central High School. The decision affirms *Brown* as the law of the land nationwide.

1959 Prince Edward County, Virginia, closes all of its public schools rather than desegregate them.

1961 President John F. Kennedy appoints Thurgood Marshall to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Jack Greenberg is selected as LDF's Director-Counsel.

1961 Holmes v. Danner LDF wins admission to the University of Georgia for two African Americans: Charlayne Hunter and Hamilton Holmes.

1962 Meredith v. Fair James Meredith finally succeeds in becoming the first African-American student to be admitted to the University of Mississippi (Ole Miss) through

NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC. **Roard of Directors**

Co-Chain	Caroline B. Kennedy	Co-Chairs Emeritus
Julius L. Chambers	Tonya Lewis Lee	William T. Coleman, Jr.
Martin D. Payson	William M. Lewis, Jr.	Robert H. Preiskel
	John D. Maguire	
Co-Vice Chairs	Cecilia S. Marshall	Directors Emeritus
Daniel L. Rabinowitz	David Mills	Alice M. Beasley
Roger W. Wilkins	Richard M. Moss	Anita Lyons Bond
	Lawrence Newman	William H. Brown III
Secretary	C. Carl Randolph	Talbot D'Alemberte
James M. Nabrit III	Judith T. Sapers	Ossie Davis
	Judith McCartin Scheide	Peter J. DeLuca
Treasurer	John W. Walker	Adrian W. DeWind
Clifford P. Case III	George Wallerstein	Anthony Downs
	Theodore V. Wells, Jr.	Robert F. Drinan
President and	Karen Hastie Williams	Marian Wright Edelmar
Director-Counsel		Clarence Finley
Theodore M. Shaw	Senior Directors	H. Minton Francis
	Anthony G. Amsterdam	Norman C. Francis
	William K. Coblentz	John Hope Franklin
Board of Directors	Theodore L. Cross	Ronald T. Gault
Billye Suber Aaron	Nannette B. Gibson	Lucy Durr Hackney
Gerald S. Adolph	Jack Greenberg	Patricia L. Irvin
Eleanor S. Applewhaite	Louis Harris	Nicholas DeB. Katzenbac
Clarence Avant	Anna Faith Jones	George E. Marshall, Jr.
Mario L. Baeza	Jetta N. Jones	Glendora McIlwain Putnar
Mary Frances Berry	David S. Lindau	Gilbert T. Ray
Patrick A. Bradford	Robert O. Preyer	Henry T. Reath
Johnnie L. Cochran, Jr.	Norman Redlich	Wayman F. Smith III
Kenneth C. Edelin	Charles B. Renfrew	Michael I. Sovern
Toni G. Fay	William H. Scheide	Bonnie Kayatta Steingar
Gordon G. Greiner	Frederick A.O. Schwarz, Jr.	
Quincy Jones	Andrew Young	Jay Topkis
Vernon E. Jordan, Jr.		E. Thomas Williams, Jr.
David E. Kendall		

DUT THE MAACP LEGAL DEFERSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC. (LDF) F was founded in 1940 under the leadenhip of Thurgood Marshall, who the legal team that won *Brown m Band of Education*. LDFs mission is to usform the promise of equality into reality for African Americana and, ut etyl, all individuals in the areas of education, political participation, eco-

gh LDF works primarily through the courts, its strategier ducational outreach, monitoring of activity in the executi anches, coalition building and policy research.

ran after Brown, education is still LDF's main program area. LDF to play a major role in the decades-long struggle to win equal acco y accondary and higher education for all of our ansain's yearth. maily, through its scholarship and fellowship programs, LDF has over 4,000 exceptional African-American students to graduate fro f the mation's best colleges, universities and law schools.

LDF is based in New York City, with offices in Washington, DC and Los Angele



the efforts of a legal team led by LDF attorney Constance Baker Motley

1967 Thurgood Marshall is appointed to the U.S. Supreme Court, becoming the first African-American to sit on the bench.

1968 Green v. County School Board of New Kent

1970 Turner v. Fouche

The Supreme Court holds unconstitutional Taliaferro County's (Georgia) requirement of real property ownership for grand jurors and school board members.

1971 Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenberg Board of

Education The Supreme Court upholds the use of busing as a means of desegregating public schools. Julius Chambers, LDF's first intern and later its Director-Coursel, argues *Swann* before the Swarme Court Supreme Court.

1973 Norwood v. Harrison The Supreme Court rules that states could not provide free textbooks to segregated private schools established to allow whites to avoid public school desegregation

1973 Keyes v. School District No. 1, Denver The Supreme Court establishes legal rules for governing school desegregation cases outside of the South, holding that where deliberate segrega-tion was shown to have affected a substantial part of a school system, the entire district must ordinarily be desegregated.

1973 Adams v. Richardson

1975 Audam & Redards and Audam Au Audam A

the winding road to BROWN and beyond

> AN LDF CHRONOLOGY OF THE STRUGGLE FOR EDUCATIONAL EQUITY: THE LEGACY OF BROWN V. BOARD OF EDUCATION

NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, INC.

4DF

Copyright ©2024 All Rights Reserved NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. Use of curriculum constitutes acceptance of our Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy

